

Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Beware: New State Drug Transparency Laws and Enforcement Mechanisms Are Coming In 2022



In 2016, states began passing pharmaceutical price reporting laws. These laws are designed to bring transparency to a pharmaceutical manufacturer's drug pricing process by requiring drug manufacturers to report pricing and other information related to the cost, development, and sale of drugs. By October 2021, approximately twenty states have passed or are implementing transparency laws. While many of these laws are applicable to drug manufacturers, pharmacy benefit managers, and health carriers, recent enforcement of these laws has focused only on drug manufacturers.

Each state has its own set of unique requirements that drug manufacturers must meet in order to distribute drugs within each individual state. Reporting is often completed via an online portal administered by the state's implementing agency. Some states will use this submitted data to produce public reports about the cost of prescription drugs with a goal of educating the state legislature and the public about the cost of drugs and to provide accountability for increased prices.

Enforcement of these state reporting laws is beginning to take shape as states pass legislation and implement administrative guidance - the majority of which provide for civil or administrative penalties. Enforcement authorities typically assess fines for each day a manufacturer is in violation and may increase penalties the longer the violation persists. Additionally, the appeals process for any enforcement action typically follows either a prescribed process codified by the state law or defaults to the appeals process under the state's administrative procedure act.

Accordingly, pharmaceutical manufacturers will need to be vigilant as more states pass and implement drug transparency laws. These laws require different reporting deadlines, the reporting of different information, disclosures based on different dollar thresholds, and have different requirements and processes for protecting confidential information and trade secrets. For the latest developments in this area, please see Goodwin's recent [client alert](#). For an in-depth analysis of these laws, please see our publication, [State Drug Transparency Laws: Considerations for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers](#), in Chapter 8 of the American Health Law Association's 2021 edition of *Health Law Watch*.

President Trump Signs Four Executive Orders Designed To Reduce Drug Prices



President Trump recently announced four Executive Orders that direct the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to implement policy changes to reduce out-of-pocket costs and the price of prescription drugs. All but one of the Executive Orders has been issued with the remaining order on hold until August 24, 2020 pending discussions between the White House and leaders of the pharmaceutical industry. The Executive Orders include some prior policy proposals aimed at lower the cost of drugs and generating savings across the health care system. If implemented, many of these proposals will likely be challenged in court.

Most Favored Nations Policy

If issued, this Executive Order could tie the price that Medicare pays for certain drugs administered by doctors to prices negotiated by other economically comparable countries. This proposed Order is similar to a [2018 prior proposal](#) by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) to use its demonstration authority to test reimbursement changes for certain separately payable Part B drugs and biologicals using an international pricing index (“IPI”). The IPI model would result in lowering Medicare reimbursement for select drugs in certain geographies covered by the model to better match prices paid by similar economically situated countries. Health officials estimate this change would save Medicare \$17 billion in the first five years. This order will be held until August 24, 2020 pending discussions with pharmaceutical industry leaders about alternative measures for lowering costs.

Increase Drug Importation

This [Executive Order](#) is designed to minimize international disparities in drug prices by increasing the trade of prescription drugs between nations with lower prices and those with persistently higher ones. The Administration argues that “reducing trade barriers and increasing the exchange of drugs will likely result in lower prices for the country that is paying more for drugs.” The Administration aims to expand safe access to lower-cost importation of prescription drugs via three primary strategies.

First, the Order requests the Secretary of HHS to consider “facilitating grants to individuals of waivers of the prohibition of importation of prescription drugs” provided that it “poses no additional risk to public safety and results in lower costs to the American People” under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA).

Second, it addresses “authorizing the reimportation of insulin products” where the Secretary of HHS finds that it is “required for emergency medical care” under section 801(d) of the FDCA. Section 801(d) generally places limitations on the reimportation of U.S. manufactured insulin products unless an exception is met.

Third, it requires the Secretary of HHS to complete the rulemaking process regarding a [December 23, 2019](#) proposed rule to import prescription drugs from Canada. The proposed rule contemplates allowing states and certain other non-federal government entities to import certain prescription drugs from Canada if the certain requirements under the FDCA are met.

Access to Affordable Life-saving Medications

This [Executive Order](#) is designed to help low income American's without access to affordable insulin and injectable epinephrine through commercial insurance or Federal health care programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, to purchase these products from a Federally Qualified Health Centers ("FQHC") at a price that aligns with the cost at which the FQHC acquired the medication. FQHCs are community-based health care providers that provide primary care services in underserved areas. FQHCs receive discounted prices through the 340B Prescription Drug Program on prescription drugs.

The Order directs the Secretary of HHS to condition future grants available to FQHCs on establishing practices to make insulin and injectable epinephrine available at the 340B discounted price paid by the FQHCs, plus a minimal administration fee, to individuals with low incomes. The Order specifies that low income individuals include those who (a) have a high cost-sharing requirement for either insulin or injectable epinephrine, (b) have a high unmet deductible, or (c) have no healthcare insurance.

Lowering Prices for Patients by Eliminating Kickbacks to Middlemen

This [Executive Order](#) directs the Secretary of HHS to finalize a February 2019 [proposed rule](#) that would revise the discount safe harbor to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute ("AKS") with respect to pharmaceutical manufacturer rebates to health plans and pharmacy benefit managers ("PBMs"). Prior to finalizing the rule, the Order requires the Secretary of HHS to publicly confirm that the rule "is not projected to increase Federal spending, Medicare beneficiary premiums, or patients' total out-of-pocket costs." Specifically, the Order directs the Secretary of HHS to "complete the rulemaking process he commenced seeking to:

(a) exclude from safe harbor protections under the anti-kickback statute, section 1128B(b) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7b, certain retrospective reductions in price that are not applied at the point-of-sale or other remuneration that drug manufacturers provide to health plan sponsors, pharmacies, or PBMs operating the Medicare Part D program; and

(b) establish new safe harbors that would permit health plan sponsors, pharmacies, and PBMs to apply discounts at the patient's point-of-sale in order to lower the patient's out-of-pocket costs, and that would permit the use of certain bona fide PBM service fees."

The Order makes it clear the Administration view rebates as the "functional equivalent of kickbacks" that "erode savings that could otherwise go to the Medicare patients taking those drugs. Yet currently, Federal regulations create a safe harbor for such discounts and preclude treating them as kickbacks under the law." The policy objective of the order is to ensure that discounts offered on prescription drugs are passed on to patients. The Order states that, narrowing the safe harbor for discounts under the AKS will allow for billions in dollars of rebates in the Medicare Part D program to go patients at the point of sale.

The Administration's policy positions and proposals in the Order and the prior proposed rule have elicited strong reactions from various stakeholders who suggested they may challenge any changes implemented as a result of this Order.